

nonconformist | secrecy +ve | totalsurveillance-compliant

www.secrecy.plus | www.secrecy.plus/hmagi



- How we define crime
- How we can stop it
- Upsides and downsides
- Next steps ...



nonconformist | secrecy +ve | totalsurveillance-compliant



How we define crime

Crime is an example of <u>societal harm</u>.

People caught committing crime become criminals.

But not all societal harm is committed by people who become criminals.

Some of *these* sorts of crimes are committed by <u>upstanding folk</u>.

Maybe 20-40 percent of total criminal activity is caused by people society thinks the best of.



How we can stop it

First, we must decide if we really want to stop it. Societal harm is committed in a space sometimes called "dark figure". This space involves crimes which are invisible to our criminal justice, mental health and other systems.

Such invisible societal harm can be used by actors on both sides of law-enforcement and national security, to further their specific ends.

Before we can agree on stopping societal harm, we have to agree that we want to.



How we can stop it

If we can agree it's a good idea to stop societal harm across the board, without exception, the job of stopping it becomes much easier.

We propose an organisation be constituted, between government agencies and an interested and focussed private security sector. The shape of this organisation is on the next few slides.

The goal will be to <u>eliminate all crime by 2043</u> – and then ensure it <u>remains eliminated</u>.

All crime, that is, including activities which make for traditional criminals ... as well as the societal harm some upstanding folk are responsible for.



How? Workstream (a)

Quick-hit 100-day development roadmaps, using existing outside-in admin/user-based op-sys architectures and platforms

 no paradigm change, no secrecy- or privacypositive tools



How? Workstream (b)

Research-based inside-out secrecy- and privacy-positive admin/user-in-one op-sys and platform architectures

 paradigm change, complete delivery of secrecyand privacy-positive tools




1. What is secrecy plus?



We make it much easier for you: a) to prevent a crime; or b) to convict a criminal if prevention hasn't been possible.

2. Why are you going to want to know more about secrecy plus?



We're now going to make your intuition, hunches, and gut feelings directly admissible in court.




3. Why be part of our first quick-hit rollout?

Because in just 100 days you can have a technology toolkit to validate your agent- and officer-interrogation processes.

Which is at the same time secrecy-positive, protecting all the inner workings of your most intuitive professional mind: your hunches.





3. Why be part of our first quick-hit 100-day rollout?



Deliver crime hunches that can take a suspect to court days after the collar, and convict or clear them on the basis of your newly validated intuition, hunches, and gut feelings.

What we propose is nothing more nor less than the dawn of a totally new security and law-enforcement toolkit

hunch-sensitive, supportive and enhancing;

at the same time as

protective of agent &
officer thought processes
and insights; with the final
goal of

eliminating as much waste as video admissibility did in its time



- How we define crime
- How we can stop it
- Upsides and downsides
- Next steps ...



nonconformist | secrecy +ve | totalsurveillance-compliant



• Upsides and downsides

The <u>upsides of eliminating all crime</u> and other societal harm seem obvious. Society is inefficient when crime permeates its activities. Bribery and its corrupting effects, on how people act, but also on how they feel, make it easier to generate hopelessness in citizens. What then happens is they join the club of criminality.



Upsides and downsides

The downsides are more difficult to establish. In theory, people should be happier when the ambiguity of not knowing what's true or not, what's fair or unjust, is removed.

But what if people *need* to commit crime? Remember, if 20–40 percent of the actors of societal harm are invisible to our systems, that's a lot of apparently upstanding folk ... who aren't *very* upstanding.



Upsides and downsides

In truth, we don't know what the downsides could be. The eventual suffocation of unresearched human needs, for example? It could be the case. But at what cost?

For what we do know at the moment is that whilst visible societal harm and crimes more widely cause untold damage, the invisible crimes are causing impossible-to-determine consequences.



- How we define crime
- How we can stop it
- Upsides and downsides
- Next steps ...



nonconformist | secrecy +ve | totalsurveillance-compliant



Next steps ...

- 1. Decide whether we want to aim for the elimination of crime as well as a wider societal harm.
- 2. If we decide, after all, that we do want to eventually eliminate all societal harm, we must then agree on a roadmap of elimination:
 - What crimes exactly, and their order
 - What timeframes for each element
 - What tools in order to achieve our goals
- 3. We must also know how to coordinate the different specialisms and skillsets which will be needed:
 - Legislators
 - Data scientists, software developers
 - Law-enforcement professionals, criminal justice actors, mental health personnel, etc.



Next steps ...

The two slides which follow propose two sets of outcomes which might be worth pursuing in order to deliver "a world free of crime".

Short-term <u>deliverable</u>

01

Bring to the statute books the new criminal figure of "neo-terrorism on the individual" (NoI) (a tech-driven longitudinal gaslighting) in no more than 3 years, with widespread prosecutions and a deliverable prevention in no more than 7 years from when we pass the laws themselves.

Neo-terrorism on the individual in a 21st century Western liberal democratic context: a practice-based PhD-level research proposal







 (\bullet)

Medium- and long-term <u>deliverables</u>

Eliminate

- · Eliminate traditional #crime in a decade:
- https://crimehunch.com/crime

Reduce

- Reduce the incidence of what we call #neocrime by 40 percent in 13 years:
- https://crimehunch.com/neocrime

Dismantle

- Dismantle the practice of #zemiological activity loopholes completely in 20 years:
- · https://crimehunch.com/loopholes



- How we define crime
- How we can stop it
- Upsides and downsides
- Next steps ...



nonconformist | secrecy +ve | totalsurveillance-compliant



nonconformist | secrecy +ve | totalsurveillance-compliant

Contact us

Mil Williams, Founder | positive@secrecy.plus

www.secrecy.plus | www.secrecy.plus/hmagi